

(b) A ceiling on income of Rs. 10,000/- per annum per family has been fixed for eligibility under the Scheme so that the assistance under the Scheme is provided mainly to the poor/needily people.

[*Translation*]

Industries Registered under New Industrial Policy in Rajasthan

4392. SHRI AYUB KHAN: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the number of industries registered in Rajasthan under the New Industrial Policy; and

(b) the details thereof and the number out of them registered in Junjhunu district?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (PROF. P. J. KURIEN): (a) and (b): As on date, a total of 234 Memoranda have been received for setting up of industries in the new Industries policy. Of these, 2 units are for the Jhunjhunu District of Rajasthan

Capacity Utilisation of Heavy Engineering Corporation Ltd.

4393. SHRI UPENDRA NATH VERMA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether only 45 per cent of the total working capacity of Heavy Engineering Corporation Ltd. Ranchi is being utilised since its commissioning; and

(b) if so, the reasons thereof and the action taken to utilise the full installed capacity?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI P. K. THUNGON): (a) and (b). The working capacity utilisation in Heavy Engineering Corporation Ltd. has been varying in different years depending upon the order book position and delivery schedule. The working capacity utilisation in three manufacturing plants of HEC during the last five years is given below:-

<i>Plants</i>	<i>HMBP</i>	<i>FFP</i>	<i>HMTF</i>
<i>(Utilisation in %)</i>			
1986-87	59.6	45.0	72.2
1987-88	55.7	38.7	70.8
1988-89	64.7	48.7	70.8
1989-90	52.8	44.7	69.5
1990-91	24.4	36.6	69.8

Recently, the order book position of HEC has improved considerably due to Government intervention and also Company's efforts.

Central Investment in Uttar Pradesh

4394. SHRI GAYA PRASAD KORI: Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PRO-

GRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) the percentage of Central investment made during each of the last three years in each State/Union Territory;

(b) whether the investment made in Uttar Pradesh is less in proportion to its population and the availability of resources; and

(c) if so, schemes being formulated to increase the Central investment in Uttar Pradesh?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI H.R. BHARDWAJ): (a) Central plan investment is not made on a State-wise basis and thus it is not possible to give an accurate break-up.

However, a statement showing the approximate estimates of Statewise break-up of Central plan Expenditure and the percentage thereof pertaining to the first three years of the seventh Five Year Plan-viz., 1985-86 (Actuals), 1986-87 (RE) and 1987-88 (BE) is given in the attached statement. This is based on several assumptions and the figures so compiled at best give only a dimensional appreciation of the situation.

(b) and (c). No, Sir. Central Plan investment is made for the country as a whole, keeping national priorities in view. Further, Central investment in programmes/projects is mostly in areas where the necessary economic factors are in optimum availability. These programmes/projects in most cases transcend the limits of the State boundaries (for example: railway lines, highways, etc.).

STATEMENT

Statement Break-up of Central Plan Expenditure

Seventh Five Year Plan : 1985-86 to 1987-88

(Rs. Crores)

Sl.No.	States/U. Ts	Actual Expenditure 1985-86	Revised Estimates 1986-87	Budget Estimates 1987-88	Total for the three years
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Andhra Pradesh	2105.90 (13.07)	2156.22 (11.23)	2321.37 (11.44)	6583.49 (11.84)
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	15.97 (0.10)	28.62 (0.15)	54.49 (0.27)	99.08 (0.18)
3.	Assam	713.69 (4.43)	621.80 (3.24)	730.50 (3.60)	2065.99 (3.72)
4.	Bihar	1133.68 (7.04)	1384.45 (7.21)	1393.82 (6.87)	3911.95 (7.04)
5.	Gujarat	1573.98	1585.63	4269.60	
	(6.89) (8.20) (7.81)		(7.66)		

Sl.No.	States/U. Ts	(Rs. Crores)				Total for the three years
		Actual Expenditure 1985-86	Revised Estimates 1986-87	Budget Estimates 1987-88		
1	2	3	4	5	6	
6.	Haryana	253.14 (1.57)	249.46 (1.30)	329.64 (1.62)	832.24 (1.50)	
7.	Himachal Pradesh	200.71 (1.57)	298.54 (1.56)	325.79 (1.61)	825.04 (1.48)	
8.	Jammu & Kashmir	197.11 (1.22)	167.02 (0.87)	230.64 (1.14)	594.77 (1.87)	
9.	Karnataka	558.13 (3.47)	687.32 (3.58)	809.89 (3.99)	2055.34 (3.70)	
10.	Kerala	441.61 (2.74)	529.34 (2.76)	531.66 (2.62)	1502.61 (2.70)	
11.	Madhya Pradesh	1976.29 (12.27)	2508.83 (13.07)	1895.79 (9.34)	6380.91 (11.48)	

(Rs. Crores)

Sl.No.	States/U. Ts	Actual Expenditure 1985-86	Revised Estimates 1986-87	Budget Estimates 1987-88	Total for the three years
1	2	3	4	5	6
12.	Maharashtra	1302.28	1522.80	2020.66	4845.74
		(8.09)	(7.93)	(9.95)	(6.72)
13.	Manipur	34.33	50.21	69.	153.58
		(0.21)	(0.26)	(0.34)	(0.28)
14.	Meghalaya	24.50	26.49	34.36	85.35
		(0.15)	(0.14)	(0.17)	(0.15)
15.	Mizoram	13.38	22.13	16.45	51.96
		(0.08)	(0.12)	(0.08)	(0.09)
16.	Nagland	27.60	30.08	55.46	113.14
		(0.17)	(0.16)	(0.27)	(0.20)
17.	Orissa	1176.75	951.76	1126.29	3254.80
		(7.31)	(4.96)	(5.55)	(5.85)

Sl.No.	States/U. Ts	(Rs. Crores)				Total for the three years
		Actual Expenditure 1985-86	Revised Estimates 1986-87	Budget Estimates 1987-88		
1	2	3	4	5		6
18.	Punjab	254.87	326.03	473.79		1054.69
		(1.58)	(1.70)	(2.33)		(1.90)
19.	Rajasthan	532.23	648.12	773.42		1953.77
		(3.30)	(3.38)	(3.81)		(3.51)
2.	Sikkim	7.16	7.62	34.56		49.34
		(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.17)		(0.09)
21.	Tamil Nadu	827.80	964.75	1069.64		2862.19
		(5.14)	(5.03)	(5.27)		(5.15)
22.	Tripura	56.82	54.75	58.23		169.80
		(0.35)	(0.29)	(0.29)		(0.31)
23.	Uttar Pradesh	1702.30	2568.40	2452.45		6723.15
		(10.57)	(13.38)	(12.08)		(12.09)

(Rs. Crores)						
Sl.No.	States/U. Ts	Actual Expenditure 1985-86	Revised Estimates 1986-87	Budget Estimates 1987-88	Total for the three years	
		3	4	5	6	
1	2		1234.13	1288.73	3497.56	
24.	West Bengal	974.70 (6.05)	(6.43)	(6.35)	(6.29)	
	Total States	15640.94	18612.85	19682.30	53936.09	
25.	A & N Islands	16.62 (0.10)	63.62 (0.33)	18.63 (0.09)	98.87 (0.18)	
26.	Chandigarh	16.50 (0.08)	12.19 (0.06)	11.58 (0.06)	37.27 (0.07)	
27.	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	1.79 (0.01)	2.10 (0.01)	1.70 (0.01)	5.59 (0.01)	
28.	Delhi	366.14 (2.27)	442.38 (2.30)	528.19 (2.60)	1336.71 (2.40)	
29.	Goa, Daman & Diu	56.58 (0.35)	53.10 (0.28)	39.42 (0.19)	149.10 (0.27)	

Sl.No.	States/U. Ts	(Rs. Crores)			
		Actual Expenditure 1985-86	Revised Estimates 1986-87	Budget Estimates 1987-88	Total for the three years
1	2	3	4	5	6
30.	Lakshadweep	1.69 (0.01)	2.43 (0.01)	9.29 (0.05)	13.41 (0.02)
31.	Pondicherry	7.64 (0.05)	9.74 (0.05)	7.00 (0.03)	24.38 (0.04)
Total U.Ts		463.96	585.56	615.81	1665.33
Total Allocable		16104.90	19198.41	20298.11	55601.42
Unallocable Amount		3003.58	4467.82	4977.43	12448.83
Grand Total		19108.48 (*)	23666.23 (£)	25275.54 (\$)	68050.25

Figures in brackets indicates percentage shares to the total allocable amount.

£ The actual expenditure (1985-86) figures in the case of "Rural Development" were supplied by the Pay and Accounts Office.

\$ The totals include: (i) actual expenditure, 1986-87 for "Communications" and (ii) Revised Estimates, 1986-87 of administrative expenditure to be incurred by the Centre on "Rural Development."

\$ The totals include: (i) Revised Estimates, 1987-88 for "Communications" and (ii) Budget Estimates, 1987-88 of administrative expenditure to be incurred by the Centre on "Rural Development."

Note: As Central Plan investment is not planned or accounted State-wise, some assumptions have been made in attempting such a break-up. While they seem to be the best possible assumptions to base such an exercise as this, their validity is certainly of a limited nature. Some examples are given below:

(1) In the case of Railways, where rolling stock is the significant portion of any year's outlay, the distribution is estimated on the basis of route/K.M. of the track passing through a particular State.

(2) In the case of Aviation, likewise, where the aircrafts cause the bulk of outlays, the distribution is made on the estimation of number of landings in the territory of the particular State.

(3) Break-up of expenditure in Postal Services is estimated Circle-wise.

As Central investment in projects is mostly in areas where the necessary economic factors are in optimum availability, the objective of regional balance can only have limited relevance in such cases.